

From: Vicky Dennison [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 August 2018 07:38
To: Piers Lindley
Subject: Vicky Dennison - Bridleway/footpath diversion Quenby

Good morning Piers

I have just realised why although I have no objection to the diversion of the route it is the actual surface of the diverted track that concerns me.

You stated that from a landscape point of view the scenic view was just as good if not better if you walk the new proposed path. I agree with that. But as a horse rider there is an added dimension to the enjoyment of the route and that is the ability to be able to canter freely on a grass surface.

The present stretch of route which the owners wish to alter is the very part where you can have a lovely canter.

If the owners now expect the horses to remain on the new track this robs horse riders of one of the most enjoyable elements of the bridleway.

It may look like it will blend in over time but the surface for horses is horrid, it is like walking barefoot on gravel and as such reduces the amenity of the path from 100% (lovely) to 20% (worse than hacking on a public road).

If horses can canter alongside the track then there is no problem, but if the owners put up a sign such as at Baggrave that 'horse must remain on the track' then the facility/amenity that is presently enjoyed is severely downgraded.

With regards

Vicky Dennison.

Sent from my iPad

This page is intentionally left blank